- I. After ten years of firm rule, Burma has now unfortunately become subjected to disruptive political splintering.
 - A. Until this spring, government dominated by coalition (Anti-Fascist People's Freedom League--AFPFL) led by Socialist Party and including broadly based mixture of peasant and labor organizations.
 - 1. AFPFL has been led by triumvirate composed of Premier U Nu and Deputy Premier!s Ba Swe and Kyaw Nyein--latter two leaders of Socialist Party.
- II. Over past two years, however, relations between U Nu and Kyaw Nyein increasingly cooled.
 - A. Policy was not issue--main cause was patronage.
 - 1. Also, Kyaw Nyein, continually blocked U Nu's anti-corruption efforts.
 - B. In April, polite agreement was reached for U Nu and Socialists to go separate ways--U Nu has always been a political independent.
 - C. It was decided to settle dispute by means of no confidence motion introduced against U Nu by Kyaw Nyein and Ba Swe.
- III. Between late April and early June, when parliament convened, both sides frantically sought to line up parliamentary support.
 - A. Tempers rose and there was general fear that violence might ensue, but army and police managed to keep things under control.

Approved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP79R00890A001000020012-1

- B. On 9 June, U Nu defeated Socialists' no confidence motion by narrow margin--127 to 119 (see chart).
 - 1. His victory was made possible only with aid of 44 votes of Communist-dominated National United Front.
- C. U Nu swears he made no commitments to NUF, but it seems inevitable he must grant some favors to retain its support.
- D. Most importantly, NUF now can exploit U Nu's great popularity through its association with him.
- IV. U Nu has now been deposed as president of the AFPFL and expelled from the League.
- V. U Nu is expected to call for national elections, probably in late summer, in an attempt to strengthen his control of parliament.
 - A. His dependence on NUF is embarrassment to him, particularly in connection with his relations with US.
 - B. He seems confident that he can roundly defeat Kyaw Nyein-Ba Swe group at polls, and thus be in position to shed NUF.
- VI. Others view possible outcome of elections differently.
 - A. It is entirely possible that NUF would be chief beneficiary.
 - 1. Against strong and united AFPFL in 1956, NUF captured 34% of national vote.
 - 2. Now, with AFPFL split and its leaders conducting mutually destructive electoral campaign, NUF would seem to be in position to do much better.
 - B. It is also possible that elections would be accompanied by considerable violence, particularly in rural areas.
 - 1. Kyaw Nyein and Ba Swe have been rough in past.

Approved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP79R00890A001000020012-1

Approved For Release 2001/03/04: CIA-RDP79R00890A001000020012-1

- 2. Numerous insurgent groups still in field, including two brands of Communists, will be tempted to intimidate isolated villagers into voting for NUF.
- 3. Various AFPFL irregular pocket armies scattered throughout Burma may get out of hand in heat of electoral battle with so much at stake.
- VII. In sum, there are definite dangers inherent in situation.
 - A. Above-ground Communists are given far more room for maneuvering than when faced with seemingly monolithic AFPFL.
 - B. Until realignment of political forces is completed, government business is likely to be virtually at stand-still at time when Burma faces many serious domestic problems.
 - C. While security forces have thus far kept lid on, widespread violence might yet break out and when mercurial Burmese start shooting each other, anything can happen.